

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/759,261	01/19/2004	William Freeman	15436.121.1.1	6915
22913 7590 07/16/2007 WORKMAN NYDEGGER (F/K/A WORKMAN NYDEGGER & SEELEY)			EXAMINER	
			BLACKWELL, GWENDOLYN ANNETTE	
	SOUTH TEMPLE GLE GATE TOWER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	CITY, UT 84111		1775	
		•	MAIL DATE	. DELIVERY MODE
	,		07/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		T					
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/759,261	FREEMAN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Gwendolyn Blackwell	1775				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
VVHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES and the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tive 17 iii apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from Cause the application to become ARANDON	DN. imely filed In the mailing date of this communication.				
Status							
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Ma	av 2007					
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-11 and 16-26</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 16-26 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	i) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-11 is/are rejected.						
	7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
	on Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 January 2004</u> is/are:		d to by the Evernines				
.0/23	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction		• •				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	eminer Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO 152				
	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119	ammer. Note the attached Office	; Action of form PTO-152.				
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)L	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents						
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International Bureau 		ed in this National Stage				
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list o		24				
·	and double to a list of	. and definited dopies flot receive	.u.				
Attachment							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
	No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	••				

Art Unit: 1775

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on May 3, 2007 has been entered.

Election/Restrictions

2. Newly submitted claims 25-26 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: the pending claims and the new claims are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product, and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.05(j)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as architectural glazing and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct because there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 25-26 withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Application/Control Number: 10/759,261

Art Unit: 1775

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Page 3

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-3, 5, and 7-10, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

United States Patent no. 5,668,663, Varaprasad et al.

Regarding claims 1 and 8

Varaprasad et al disclose an electrochromic device, such as a window (column 1, lines 6-

11) comprised of first and second substrates positioned in a spaced apart relationship being

substantially parallel. First and second conductive electrodes are positioned on the inner surfaces

(the surfaces that face each other) of the first and second substrates, (columns 13-14, lines 45-

41). Between the conductive electrodes, an electrochromic material layer and an electrolyte

material layer (the combined electrochromic layer/electrolyte layer form Applicant's attenuation

layer) are formed, (column 7, lines 53-64). The electrolyte material is comprised of redox

reaction promotors and alkali ions and/or protons wherein one of the alkali ions may be lithium

methacrylate (photopolymerizable element), (columns 8-10, lines 56-58), meeting the

limitations of claims 1 and 8.

Regarding claims 2-3, 5, 7, and 9-10

The substrates can be formed of glass, (column 13, lines 26-41), meeting the limitations

of claims 2 and 9.

Application/Control Number: 10/759,261

Art Unit: 1775

The electrodes and glass substrates are transparent and transmissive in part in the visible

Page 4

portion of the electromagnetic spectrum, (column 15, lines 6-65), meeting the limitations of

claims 3 and 10.

As light passes through the electrolyte layer, a portion of the electromagnetic spectrum is

absorbed (attenuated), (columns 11-12, lines 61-8), meeting the limitations of claim 5.

The electrochromic/electrolyte layers are activated by an applied potential between the

conductive electrode coatings by any source of an alternating current or a direct current

(voltage), (column 23, lines 39-49), meeting the limitations of claim 7.

5. Claims 1-5 and 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by United

States Patent no. 6,193,378, Tonar et al.

Regarding claims 1, 4, 8, and 11

Tonar et al disclose an electrochromic device that can be a window, (column 11, lines 47-

50 and column 12, lines 53-57). The device is comprised of first and second substrates with a

first electrode on the inner surface of the first substrate and a second electrode on the inner

surface of the second substrates wherein the two substrates are in a spaced apart relationship with

an electrochromic element formed between the two electrodes, (column 3, lines 38-67). The

electrochromic element is comprised of an electrolyte and an electrochromic medium, (column 4,

lines 46-67). The example demonstrates that the electrochromic medium also contains

polymethylmethacrylate (photopolymerizable monomer), (column 10, lines 32-37),

Regarding claims 2-3, 5, 7, and 9-10

The substrates are made of glass, (column 10, lines 17-24), meeting the limitations of

claims 2 and 9.

The conductive electrodes are transparent, which would allow for the transmission of at least a portion of visible light, (column 3, lines 57-65), meeting the limitations of claims 3 and 10.

As the prior art meets the layer structure as set forth by Applicant in claim 5, it would be expected that the structure of Tonar et al would meet the physical limitations as set forth in claim 5, absent an objective showing to the contrary.

The reflectivity of electrochromic element is activated through the use of an applied voltage, (column 3, lines 37-41), meeting the limitations of claim 7.

Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments filed May 3, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 7. Applicant contends (1) that the Varaprasad et al does not teach or suggest the limitations of claims 1 and 8, and (2) that Tonar et al does not teach or suggest the limitations of claims 1 and 8.

With regards to contention (1), Applicant's interpretation of the Examiner's interpretation of the attenuation layer is correct. Varaprasad et al is still considered pertinent prior art because there is nothing to say that photopolymerizable element in the electrolyte would not help to increase the bonding of the electrolyte and electrochromic material layers.

With regards to contention (2), Tonar et al is still considered pertinent prior art because there is nothing to say that photopolymerizable element in the electrolyte would not help to increase the bonding of the electrolyte and electrochromic material layers.

Application/Control Number: 10/759,261

Art Unit: 1775

8. For the reasons set forth above, the rejection stands.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Page 6

examiner should be directed to Gwendolyn Blackwell whose telephone number is (571) 272-

1533. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday; 6:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jennifer McNeil can be reached on (571) 272-1540. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Examiner

Art Unit 1775